



## VARIANCE COMPONENTS AND HERITABILITY OF SOME GROWTH PARAMETERS IN PUREBRED NIGERIAN INDIGENOUS CHICKENS

C. C. Ogbu<sup>1</sup>, E. N. Nwachukwu<sup>2</sup> and C. C. Nwosu<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Animal Health and Production, College of Veterinary Medicine, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.

<sup>2</sup>Department of Animal Breeding and Physiology, College of Animal Science and Animal Production, Michael Okpara University of Agriculture, Umudike, Abia State, Nigeria.

<sup>3</sup>Department of Animal Science, University of Nigeria, Nsukka  
E-Mail: [coschi07@yahoo.com](mailto:coschi07@yahoo.com)

### ABSTRACT

The objectives of the study were to evaluate growth performance (body weight, BW; body weight gain, BWG; feed intake, FI; and feed:gain ratio, F:G) in a population of purebred Nigerian indigenous chicken (NIC) and to determine the variance components and additive genetic heritability of BW, BWG, and F:G in this population. Data were collected from a total of 500 purebred NIC ( $G_1$  generation) produced from 5 sire groups (1 cock:10 hens) established from a foundation stock ( $G_0$  generation) made up of mature hens and roosters ( $\geq 39$  weeks of age) maintained in the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Science, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu. On hatching, the chicks were identified according to sire group using wing bands. They were brooded and reared together on deep litter from hatch to 8 wk of age and thereafter separated into sire groups and then reared to sexual maturity (20 wk). Data on BW, BWG, FI and F:G were collected from hatch to 20 weeks of age and subjected to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) using a sire model (paternal half-sib analysis). Sire component of variance was determined for BW, BWG, and F:G and used to calculate the additive genetic heritability for the traits. There were significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) sire effect on BW, BWG, and F:G across the age periods but not FI. Sire ( $\sigma_s^2$ ) and additive genetic ( $\sigma_A^2$ ) variances increased rapidly during the early phase of growth (0-12 wk) compared to later age periods. Additive genetic heritability ( $h_A^2$ ) ranged from  $0.28 \pm 0.24$ - $0.71 \pm 0.47$ ,  $0.63 \pm 0.53$ - $0.77 \pm 0.58$  and  $0.56 \pm 0.50$ - $0.79 \pm 0.61$  for BW, BWG, and F:G, respectively. It was concluded that BW, BWG and F:G varied significantly in NIC population and would respond to selection for genetic improvement.

**Keywords:** Nigerian indigenous chicken, variance components, additive genetic heritability, growth parameters, purebred.

### INTRODUCTION

The Nigerian indigenous chicken (NIC) is known to adapt and produce valuable products (meat and egg) under variable environments and low external input. The productivity of local chickens is however constrained by adverse environmental factors such as unfavourable climatic conditions (Ali *et al.*, 2000; Islam and Nishibori, 2009), and poor genetic profile (Alexander, 2001; Mengasha *et al.*, 2008a; Ogbu, 2012). The NIC attains a body weight of less than 1 kg at sexual maturity (20-24 weeks of age) (Nwosu and Asuquo, 1985; Ebangi and Ibe, 1994). Such growth performance is unattractive to investors in poultry production hence the neglect of the NIC for poultry production when profit is the goal. The native poultry population contains genotypes that vary in productive potentials as well as those that exhibit major gene effects (Merat, 1990; Islam and Nishibori, 2009; Oke, 2011) which also influence productivity (Warnoto and Triadi, 2009; Fassill *et al.*, 2010). Improved local poultry production is necessary to achieve a poultry industry that is both economically viable and self sustaining. The performance of the NIC can be improved through directional selection and improved management and nutrition. Selecting and concentrating favourable indigenous poultry genes that confer productive adaptability (Nwachukwu *et al.*, 2006a, b) will greatly enhance productivity. To effectively utilize existing local poultry resources to improve poultry production,

knowledge of the degree of genetic variation in productive traits in populations of NIC as well as the proportion of the total variability that is due to additive genetic effect is very necessary. Variance component estimation enables the breeder to understand the genetic mechanisms underlying performance in animal populations. It enables the prediction of breeding values of animals for traits of interest, aid the construction of selection indices used as unbiased predictors of merit, and in the optimization of breeding programmes. Estimates of sire component of variance reflect the additively determined portion of the total genetic variance while estimates of additive genetic heritability reflect the degree of inheritance uncompounded by genetic interaction, maternal effects and uncontrolled environmental effects (Becker, 1992). In addition, additive genetic heritability enables unbiased estimates of the breeding values of farm animals and so its estimation is central to the design and implementation of animal breeding plans and in making predictions of direct and correlated responses to selection.

The estimation of genetic parameters especially additive genetic heritability is therefore essential for designing effective selection programmes for the improvement of the NIC and in determining the appropriate age at which to execute the selection programme to achieve optimal selection response. Estimates of additive genetic heritability for productive traits of the NIC have been documented by some workers



(Nwosu and Asuquo, 1985; Adedeji *et al.*, 2004; Ndofor-Foleg *et al.*, 2006; Adeleke *et al.*, 2011) however; these estimates pertain to particular populations, time period, management and production environments. Genetic variances and heritability estimates are characteristics of the particular population that provided the data for their estimation as well as the time period, management and production milieu in which they were estimated. Literature values hence provide a broad idea of the range of values for the parameters in different environments and production systems but may not adequately substitute estimates made *in situ* and intended for use in selection schemes that pertain to a particular population. This is especially so where, like in Nigeria, lack of large data sets (consistently kept over years and generations) do not permit estimates that could suffice for most practical situations. The objectives of the present study were therefore to evaluate the growth performance, feed intake and feed:gain ratio of first ( $G_1$ ) generation pure bred population of the Nigerian indigenous chicken (NIC) and to estimate the variance components and additive genetic heritability of body weight, body weight gain and feed:gain ratio at various ages in this population.

## MATERIALS AND METHODS

**Location of study:** The study was carried out at the Poultry Unit of the Teaching and Research Farm of the Department of Animal Science, Enugu State University of Science and Technology, Enugu.

**Experimental animals (base population):** A total of 75 sexually mature ( $\geq 20$  week of age) NIC (65 hens and 10 cocks) obtained from rural households and markets in Ebonyi and Enugu States and established for teaching and research formed the base population (foundation stock or  $G_0$  generation) from which the experimental birds ( $G_1$  generation) were produced. The birds were housed in groups of 5 - 10 according to sex in deep litter pens bedded with wood shavings. They were fed layers mash (125g/bird/day) and cool clean water provided *ad libitum* and received routine vaccinations against Newcastle and pox diseases as well as anthelmintic and antibiotic medications as and when due. They were also dusted with acaricide powder against ectoparasites at 8 and 16 wk of age, respectively. At the commencement of the present study, 5 cocks and 50 hens were selected from the pool based on sound physical appearance and laying performance in hens. The hens were randomly assigned to the cocks in a ratio of 1:10 (cock: hen) to form 5 sire breeding groups ( $S_1$  to  $S_5$ ).

**Production of  $G_1$  generation:** After about 2 weeks (wk) of adaptation and stabilization of mating, fertile eggs were collected twice daily for 7 days from each sire group and hatched in a locally made incubator (~65-70% hatchability; Udeh *et al.*, 2003) according to sire group. These birds made up the  $G_1$  purebred generation that was assessed in the present study. On hatching, the chicks were identified according to sire group using wing bands. They were brooded and reared together on deep litter from hatch to 8 wk of age and thereafter separated

into sire groups and then reared to sexual maturity (20 wk). The birds were fed chick mash (18% CP, 2800 KcalME/kg) from 1 day (d) to 8 wk of age and growers mash (16% CP, 2670 KcalME/kg) from 9 wk to 20 wk of age. Water was provided at all times to the birds.

**Data collection and analysis:** Birds were weighed at hatch and at 4 weekly intervals to obtain their body weights. Daily weight gain was calculated as the difference between consecutive body weights divided by the number of days in the interval. The daily feed intake of each group of birds was determined as the difference between quantity given and quantity of left over during a 24 h period. The daily intake per bird (g/bird/day) was then calculated as this difference divided by the number of birds in the group. The daily feed intake per bird was subsequently divided by the daily weight gain to obtain the feed: gain ratio. Data collected were submitted to Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) in Completely Randomized Design (CRD) to test for effects of sire using the following model by Becker (1992).

$$X_{ij} = \mu + S_i + e_{ij}$$

where,  $X_{ij}$  is an observation,  $\mu$  is the overall mean,  $S_i$  is fixed effect of the sire and  $e_{ij}$  is the error term or residual. Variance components were estimated using the paternal half-sib method (Cardellina and Siewerdt, 1992; Becker, 1992; Sharma *et al.*, 2013) as:

$$\sigma_s^2 = \frac{1}{4} C_oHS$$

where  $\sigma_s^2$  is sire component of variance and  $C_oHS$  stands for covariance of half-sibs. The additive genetic variance ( $\sigma_A^2$ ) was then obtained by noting that:

$$4\sigma_s^2 = C_oHS = \sigma_A^2$$

The additive genetic heritability ( $h^2_A$ ) was then calculated using the expression:

$$h^2_A = 4\sigma_s^2 / \sigma_s^2 + \sigma_w^2 \quad (\text{Cardellina and Siewerdt, 1992; Sharma } et al., 2013)$$

Where,  $\sigma_w^2$  is error variance. The  $\sigma_w^2$  was estimated by the mean square error (MSE) from paternal half-sib analysis of variance. The standard error for  $h^2_A$  was calculated using the relationship:

$$S.E. (h^2_A) = \sqrt{\frac{2}{k-1} \frac{1-t}{1+(k-1)t}} \quad (\text{Koots and Gibson, 1996})$$

where,  $t$  is the intraclass correlation,  $k$  is average number of progeny per sire and  $s$  is number of sires. The intraclass correlation,  $t$  and average number of progeny per sire,  $k$  is given, respectively by:

$$t = \sigma_s^2 / \sigma_s^2 + \sigma_w^2$$

$$k = 1/s - 1/[n - (\sum n_i^2/n)] \quad (\text{Becker, 1992})$$



where,  $s$ ,  $\sigma_s^2$ , and  $\sigma_w^2$  are as defined above,  $n_i$  is number of progeny for the  $i^{\text{th}}$  sire and  $n$  is total number of progenies.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The growth performance of the experimental birds (sexes combined) is presented in Table-1 according to sire groups. The Table reveals significant ( $P < 0.05$ ) sire effect on growth performance across the age periods. At hatch, birds belonging to sire groups 1, 2, and 4 were heaviest compared to those of groups 3, and 5. The same trend was observed from wk 12 to 20 whereas in wks 4 and 8 the sire groups were most similar in their growth performance. The significant differences in hatch weight were probably due to differences in egg size which could be related to differential age and size of dams and/or

laying potentials. Thus the superiority in hatch weight was not sustained between 4 and 8 wk of age probably because the positive maternal influence through egg size and egg quality waned during this period. The re-enactment of superior body weight from 12 to 20 wks by progenies of sires 1, 2, and 4 indicates significant influence of sire genetic potentials for growth from grower phase to maturity. It also indicates the presence of significant variation in growth potentials within the local chicken population which could be exploited for genetic improvement of the NIC (Ogbu and Omeje, 2011; Ogbu, 2012). Ogbu and Omeje (2011) showed that the Nigerian local chicken population could be segregated into body weight lines differing significantly in growth potentials.

**Table-1.** Effect of sire group on body weight (g) of Nigerian indigenous chicken at various age periods.

Age (wk)	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	S <sub>5</sub>
0	27.06 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>	26.98 ± 0.43 <sup>a</sup>	25.56 ± 0.33 <sup>b</sup>	27.12 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	25.68 ± 0.29 <sup>b</sup>
4	168.22 ± 3.36 <sup>ab</sup>	170.31 ± 3.86 <sup>a</sup>	160.36 ± 3.18 <sup>ab</sup>	177.33 ± 3.29 <sup>a</sup>	160.37 ± 3.17 <sup>b</sup>
8	331.67 ± 9.68 <sup>a</sup>	335.79 ± 10.89 <sup>a</sup>	311.42 ± 8.75 <sup>ab</sup>	332.95 ± 9.01 <sup>a</sup>	291.98 ± 6.65 <sup>b</sup>
12	671.42 ± 19.66 <sup>a</sup>	640.82 ± 18.66 <sup>a</sup>	591.79 ± 15.94 <sup>b</sup>	660.00 ± 18.92 <sup>a</sup>	564.60 ± 13.64 <sup>b</sup>
16	750.15 ± 25.65 <sup>a</sup>	794.33 ± 22.58 <sup>a</sup>	666.62 ± 18.34 <sup>b</sup>	759.86 ± 21.65 <sup>a</sup>	647.15 ± 17.37 <sup>b</sup>
20	937.88 ± 31.34 <sup>a</sup>	940.00 ± 26.08 <sup>a</sup>	831.50 ± 20.76 <sup>b</sup>	950.98 ± 22.05 <sup>a</sup>	821.95 ± 18.54 <sup>b</sup>

a, b: means in the same row having different superscripts are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

Overall values for BW reported in the present study for the various age periods closely agree with some of the values reported by other studies for indigenous chickens of Nigeria (Asuquo and Nwosu, 1987; Adedokun and Sonaiya, 2001; Ogbu and Omeje, 2011). For instance, average values calculated from the report of Momoh *et al.* (2010) for BW of heavy and light local chicken ecotypes at hatch, 4, 8, 12, 16, and 20 wks of age were 27.2, 148.0, 324.5, 640.0, 773.5 and 903.5g, respectively which substantially agree with our reported values of 26.48 ± 0.17, 167.44 ± 1.56, 320.06 ± 4.16, 624.83 ± 8.28, 779.64 ± 10.20 and 895.91 ± 11.40g for the same age periods, respectively. These values were intermediate compared to

values for light and heavy ecotypes reported by Momoh *et al.* (2010). Ebonyi state is known to have large populations of the light local chickens but shares borders with Cross River state known to have large populations of the heavy strain. Inter regional marketing between states enable the distribution, spread and interbreeding of these strains thereby producing populations with tremendous variation in growth potentials.

Feed intake did not differ among sire groups except at the 16<sup>th</sup> wk of age at which progenies of sire 5 surpassed other groups in feed intake (except sire 2) (80.94 ± 1.79 vs 78.36 ± 0.78g/bird/day, respectively,  $P > 0.05$ ) (Table-2).

**Table-2.** Effect of sire group on daily feed intake (g) of Nigerian indigenous chickens at various age periods.

Age (wk)	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	S <sub>5</sub>
4	18.72 ± 0.50	19.42 ± 0.55	19.15 ± 0.54	18.00 ± 0.52	17.84 ± 0.46
8	39.52 ± 0.85	40.50 ± 1.03	41.87 ± 0.90	42.22 ± 1.02	42.79 ± 1.12
12	69.59 ± 1.52	66.50 ± 1.29	67.82 ± 1.14	65.80 ± 1.77	70.87 ± 1.45
16	77.56 ± 0.76 <sup>b</sup>	78.36 ± 0.78 <sup>ab</sup>	77.31 ± 0.69 <sup>b</sup>	76.00 ± 0.94 <sup>b</sup>	80.94 ± 1.79 <sup>a</sup>
20	88.47 ± 1.80	88.94 ± 2.55	91.25 ± 1.39	91.04 ± 2.45	84.78 ± 1.80

a, b: different superscripts in the row are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).

The similarity in feed intake among sire groups shows poor genetic variation for feed intake in the population used for the study and indicates that feed intake

has poor genetic component (Ogbu and Omeje, 2011) and that it is most possibly strongly influenced by environmental factors (ambient temperature, type of diet,



management practices) as well as differential growth rate). The predominantly non-significant differences in FI across sire groups is supported by previous studies involving indigenous chickens in Africa (Hassen *et al.*, 2006;

Momoh and Nwosu, 2009; Reta, 2009). Progenies of sires 1 and 4 were higher ( $P < 0.05$ ) in body weight gain (BWG) followed by those of sire 2 while progenies of sires 3 and 5 had the least ( $P < 0.05$ ) BWG (Table-3).

**Table-3.** Effect of sire group on body weight gain (g) of Nigerian indigenous chickens at various age periods.

Age (wk)	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	S <sub>5</sub>
0-4	6.85 ± 0.43 <sup>ab</sup>	6.21 ± 0.30 <sup>bc</sup>	5.58 ± 0.37 <sup>c</sup>	7.43 ± 0.41 <sup>a</sup>	5.65 ± 0.30 <sup>c</sup>
5-8	8.82 ± 0.35 <sup>a</sup>	8.28 ± 0.46 <sup>ab</sup>	7.00 ± 0.43 <sup>b</sup>	9.11 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	7.46 ± 0.49 <sup>b</sup>
9-12	10.12 ± 0.54 <sup>ab</sup>	10.23 ± 0.45 <sup>ab</sup>	8.80 ± 0.50 <sup>b</sup>	11.19 ± 0.49 <sup>a</sup>	8.70 ± 0.39 <sup>b</sup>
13-16	5.79 ± 0.28 <sup>ab</sup>	6.23 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	5.07 ± 0.37 <sup>b</sup>	6.51 ± 0.38 <sup>a</sup>	4.99 ± 0.41 <sup>b</sup>
17-20	7.93 ± 0.29 <sup>ab</sup>	7.03 ± 0.41 <sup>c</sup>	6.53 ± 0.64 <sup>c</sup>	8.73 ± 0.32 <sup>a</sup>	6.74 ± 0.33 <sup>bc</sup>
a, b, c: different superscripts in the row are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).					

BWG averaged 6.85 ± 0.43, and 7.43 ± 0.41g for sire groups 1 and 4, respectively compared to 5.58 ± 0.37 and 5.65 ± 0.30g for sire groups 3 and 5, respectively. For

F:G, marked differences were observed across the age periods among the sire groups (Table-4).

**Table-4.** Effect of sire group on F:G of Nigerian indigenous chickens at various age periods.

Age (wk)	S <sub>1</sub>	S <sub>2</sub>	S <sub>3</sub>	S <sub>4</sub>	S <sub>5</sub>
0-4	2.90 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	3.27 ± 0.24 <sup>ab</sup>	3.71 ± 0.30 <sup>b</sup>	2.54 ± 0.21 <sup>a</sup>	3.26 ± 0.16 <sup>ab</sup>
5-8	4.61 ± 0.26 <sup>a</sup>	5.12 ± 0.35 <sup>ab</sup>	6.38 ± 0.43 <sup>c</sup>	4.80 ± 0.30 <sup>a</sup>	6.12 ± 0.46 <sup>bc</sup>
9-12	7.24 ± 0.53 <sup>ab</sup>	6.66 ± 0.30 <sup>a</sup>	8.07 ± 0.42 <sup>b</sup>	6.10 ± 0.46 <sup>a</sup>	8.36 ± 0.39 <sup>b</sup>
13-16	13.84 ± 0.74 <sup>ab</sup>	12.90 ± 0.59 <sup>a</sup>	16.90 ± 1.51 <sup>bc</sup>	12.14 ± 0.77 <sup>a</sup>	17.70 ± 1.41 <sup>c</sup>
17-20	11.38 ± 0.58 <sup>a</sup>	13.10 ± 0.73 <sup>ab</sup>	16.10 ± 1.66 <sup>b</sup>	10.59 ± 0.50 <sup>a</sup>	13.04 ± 0.78 <sup>ab</sup>
a, b, c: different superscripts in the row are significantly different ( $P < 0.05$ ).					

Birds of sires 1 and 4 were most efficient in feed utilization at wk 4; those of 1, 4 and 2 at wk 8, 12 and 16 and progenies of sires 4, 1, 2 and 5 at wk 20. Thus among the sire groups progenies of sires 1, 2 and 4 were consistent in having lower (better) F:G compare to other groups and this corresponds to their higher rate of growth (higher BW and BWG). The results indicate that BW, BWG, and F:G are positively correlated and that selection for one could improve the other traits. Values reported for FI, BWG and F:G for local chickens in Nigeria and elsewhere are varied reflecting the wide variation in type of birds, management practices, age of birds evaluated, physiological status and experimental procedure. Whereas the values reported in the present study for FI, BWG and F:G were in good accord with those of Mupeta *et al.* (2002), Kingori *et al.* (2004), and Sarkita and Noor (2005), they were at variance with those of Haitook *et al.* (2003) for indigenous chickens of Thailand and Hassen *et al.* (2006) for the Fayoumi in Egypt. Hassen *et al.* (2006) reported FI of 24.0 - 34.2g between 0-4 wks of age, and 36.6 - 47.3g for 5-8 wks of age. The corresponding range for BWG and F:G were 3.3 - 4.2g and 6.1 - 9.6, respectively for 0-4 wk, and 8.8 - 11.5g and 36.4 - 47.3,

respectively for 5-8 wks of age. These values were all higher than the values reported in the present study. In Nigeria Momoh *et al.* (2010) reported a range of 4.24 - 4.54 and 4.04 - 4.45g for BWG and F:G at 0-4 wks in heavy and light local chicken ecotypes and their reciprocal crosses which were different from the range of 5.58 ± 0.37 - 6.85 ± 0.43g (mean; 6.27 ± 0.18g) and 2.54 ± 0.21 - 3.71 ± 0.30 (mean: 3.18 ± 0.11) reported in the present study. Values for other age periods were also different (6.15 - 6.69g and 3.24 - 4.28 vs 7.00 ± 0.43 - 8.82 ± 0.35g and 4.61 ± 0.26 - 6.36 ± 0.43 for wk 4-8, 12.5 - 13.2g and 4.47 - 5.38 vs 8.70 ± 0.39 - 11.19 ± 0.49g and 6.10 ± 0.46 - 8.36 ± 0.39 for 8-12 wk etc). These results reflect the tremendous variation in performance attributes of Nigerian indigenous chickens and these variations constitute raw materials for genetic improvement. The observed significant variation among sire groups in BWG and F:G reflect strong genetic influence on these traits and shows that the performances of the local chicken population in these traits would respond readily to directional selection. Mean square sire (MS<sub>S</sub>) was significant ( $P < 0.01$ , 0.001) for BW, BWG and F:G across the age periods (Table-5).

**Table-5.** Components of variance for BW, BWG, and F:G in population of Nigerian indigenous chicken (NIC) at various age periods.

Age (wk)	Parameter	Variance estimates				
		MSs	$\sigma_s^2$	$\sigma_A^2$	$\sigma_w^2$	$\sigma_p^2$
0	BW	31.152***	0.494	1.976	6.456	6.950
	BWG	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
	F:G	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA
4	BW	2276.956***	42.107	168.428	484.725	526.832
	BWG	8.876***	0.467	1.868	1.992	2.459
	F:G	2.845**	0.136	0.544	0.842	0.978
8	BW	13752.369***	272.438	1089.752	3148.236	3420.674
	BWG	11.999**	0.614	2.456	2.949	3.563
	F:G	9.925***	0.528	2.112	2.151	2.679
12	BW	81611.747***	1834.874	7339.496	11617.536	13452.410
	BWG	15.646***	0.826	3.304	3.479	4.305
	F:G	12.594***	0.668	2.672	2.746	3.414
16	BW	146698.083***	3533.157	14132.628	16429.624	19962.781
	BWG	6.508**	0.331	1.324	1.759	2.090
	F:G	86.975***	4.726	18.904	16.889	23.857
20	BW	147372.455***	3663.902	14655.608	19795.376	23459.278
	BWG	11.172**	0.624	2.496	2.707	3.331
	F:G	64.410***	3.650	14.60	14.895	18.545
MSs: Mean square sire, $\sigma_s^2$ : variance due to sire, $\sigma_A^2$ : additive genetic variance, $\sigma_w^2$ : residual variance, $\sigma_p^2$ : phenotypic variance, **, ***: significant at $P < 0.01$ and $0.001$ , respectively, NA: not applicable.						

This indicates significant sire variance for the traits and shows that selection of sires for improvement in the traits would yield rapid genetic progress. The result also means that there is significant additive genetic variation in the traits to allow for genetic improvement through selection. The Sire ( $\sigma_s^2$ ) and additive genetic ( $\sigma_A^2$ ) variances for BW, BWG and F:G. increased progressively and rapidly from hatch to 12 wk of age but became slow as the birds attained sexual maturity from 16 to 20 wks of age. This observation is in agreement with the reports of (REF) that the local chicken exhibits a biphasic growth pattern namely an auto-accelerating phase of growth (0-12 wk of age) and an auto-decelerating phase of growth (> 12 wk of age). For instance  $\sigma_s^2$  was 0.494 for hatch weight but 42.107 for BW at wk 4 (> 98% increase), 272.438 for BW at 8 wk (85% increase), 1834.874, 3533.157, and 3663.902 for BW at 12, 16 and 20 wk, respectively or increases of 85, 48 and 3.6%, respectively from one age period to the next. Similar increases in error ( $\sigma_w^2$ ) and phenotypic variances ( $\sigma_p^2$ ) with age were also observed. The rapid increases in sire and additive genetic variances from the very low value of 0.494 at hatch to 3663.902 by wk 20 for  $\sigma_s^2$  and 1.976 at hatch to 14655.608 ( $\approx 100\%$  increase) at 20 wk for  $\sigma_A^2$  could arise from individual bird differences in the number of genes (quantitative trait loci) that affect growth performance, the interaction among

these genes, and between the genotypes and the environment all of which contribute to the variance of quantitative traits like BW, BWG and F:G (Deep and Lamont, 2002). It has been reported that different stages of growth in chickens are controlled by different sets of genes (Carlborg *et al.*, 2003; Kerje *et al.*, 2003; Carlborg *et al.*, 2006) and genetic effects (Carlborg *et al.*, 2003; Carlborg and Haley, 2004). Individual differences in the distribution and frequency of growth genes and the age at which each gene comes to expression would be expected. The increases in residual (error) and phenotypic variances follow from increases in  $\sigma_s^2$  and changes in environmental variance with age of the birds. Gowe and Fairfull (1985) had reported that environmental variance experiences relatively large increases with age compensating for reductions in additive genetic variation. From the observations, it does appear that mean and variances for growth are positively correlated in chickens (Ogbu and Omeje, 2011). The increases in variances with age and BW values were not observed with regards to BWG and F:G probably because these traits do not show linear increases with age as does BW values. Values of variance components for different measures of growth and feed efficiency in Nigerian indigenous chickens are scarce in literature. Furthermore, the few estimates available vary widely being influenced by genotype and a myriad of





environmental factors that do not permit meaningful comparisons across distinct production populations and environments. This notwithstanding, the reported increases in the variances with age are supported by the reports of Ogbu (2012a) who reported increasing within and between line variances with age in two generations of the Nigerian indigenous chickens.

The values for additive genetic heritability ( $h_A^2$ ) for BW, BWG and F:G (Table-6) show that  $h_A^2$  was  $0.28 \pm 0.24 - 0.71 \pm 0.47$  for BW from hatch to 20 wk of age. The corresponding range for BWG and F:G was  $0.63 \pm 0.53 - 0.77 \pm 0.58$  and  $0.56 \pm 0.50 - 0.79 \pm 0.61$ , respectively. Thus  $h_A^2$  was moderate to high for BW and generally high for BWG and F:G.

**Table-6.** Estimates of additive genetic heritability ( $h_A^2$ ) for growth parameters of Nigerian indigenous chickens (NIC) at various age periods.

Age (wk)	Parameter		
	BW	BWG	F:G
0	$0.28 \pm 0.23$	NE	NE
4	$0.32 \pm 0.24$	$0.76 \pm 0.34$	$0.56 \pm 0.30$
8	$0.32 \pm 0.24$	$0.69 \pm 0.32$	$0.79 \pm 0.34$
12	$0.55 \pm 0.30$	$0.77 \pm 0.30$	$0.78 \pm 0.35$
16	$0.71 \pm 0.33$	$0.63 \pm 0.33$	$0.79 \pm 0.35$
20	$0.62 \pm 0.32$	$0.75 \pm 0.32$	$0.79 \pm 0.35$
BW: Body weight (g), BWG: body weight gain (g), F:G: feed:gain ratio, NE: not estimable.			

These results are in agreement with reports of Adedeji *et al.* (2004), and Ndofor-Foleng *et al.* (2006). Adedeji *et al.* (2004) reported that heritability for growth traits in sire strains to 8 week of age were generally high while Ndofor-Foleng *et al.* (2006) reported average heritability values of 0.40 and 0.37 for heavy and light local chicken ecotypes of Nigeria, respectively. Ogbu (2012b) also reported range of estimates of additive genetic heritability in males of the Nigerian indigenous chicken as 0.24 to 0.59 from 12 to 20 wk of age and 0.13 to 0.25 for 39 wk of age over three generations. The low to moderate values for 39 wk was attributed to reduction in additive genetic variation for growth at this age period. On the other hand, Adeleke *et al.* (2011) reported a range of 0.05 at day-old to 0.45 at wks 12 and 16 for pure and crossbred Nigerian indigenous chickens. Heritability estimates are influenced by environmental factors. They vary widely among populations and changes over time hence it is regularly estimated as and when required even for the same population. The moderate to high values reported for BW and generally high values reported for BWG and F:G in the present study indicate that these traits are highly heritable and will respond favourably to directional selection for genetic improvement.

## CONCLUSIONS

There were high sire and additive genetic variances in BW, BWG, and F: G that would support directional selection for genetic improvement in these traits in the NIC. Furthermore, BW, BWG, and F: G are moderately to highly heritable and could be combined in selection schemes for improved growth performance in the NIC.

## REFERENCE

- Adedeji T.A., Adebambo O. A., Ozoje O. M., Dipeolu M. A. and Peters S. O. 2004. Heritability and genetic and phenotypic correlations of early growth traits in crossbred chickens. In: Proc. 29<sup>th</sup> Annual Conf. Genetic Soc. Nig. October 11-14, Univ. Agric. Abeokuta. pp. 113-116.
- Adedokun S. A. and Sonaiya E. B. 2001. Comparison of the performance of Nigerian indigenous chickens from three ecological zones. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*. 3(2): 34-39.
- Adeleke M. A., Peters S. O., Ozoje M. O., Ikeobi C. O. N., Bamgbose A. M. and Adebambo O. A. 2011. Genetic parameter estimates for body weight and linear body measurements in pure and crossbred progenies of Nigerian indigenous chickens. *Livestock Res. Rural Dev.* 23 (1) htm.
- Asuquo B. O. and Nwosu C. C. 1987. Heritability and correlation estimates of body weight in the local chicken and their crosses. *E. Afr. Agric. J.* 52(4): 267-271.
- Becker W. A. 1992. *Manual of Quantitative Genetics*. 5<sup>th</sup> ed. USE. Academic Enterprise Pullman. p. 189.
- Cardellino R. A. and Siewerdt F. 1992. Genetic parameters of production traits in Landrace and Large White pigs in Southern Brazil. *Rev. Brasil. Genet.* 15(3): 575-583.
- Carlborg O and Haley C. S. 2004. Epistasis: too often neglected in complex trait studies? *Nat. Rev. Genet.* 5: 618-625.



- Carlborg O., Jacobsson L., Ahgren P., Siegel P. and Andersson L. 2006. Epistasis and the release of cryptic variation during long-term selection. *Nat. Genet.* 38: 418-420.
- Carlborg O., Kerje S., Schutz K., Jacobsson L., Jensen P., and Andersson L. 2003. A global search reveals epistatic interaction between QTL for early growth in the chicken. *Genome Res.* 13: 413-421.
- Deep N. and Lamont S. J. 2002. Genetic architecture of growth and body composition in unique chicken populations. *The American Genetic Association.* 93: 107-118.
- Ebangi L. A. and Ibe S. N. 1984. Heritability and genetic correlations between some growth traits in Nigerian local chicken. *Nig. J. Anim. Prod.* 21: 19-24.
- Fasill B., Adnony T., Gjoen H. M., Kathle J. and Abebe G. 2010. Production performance of dual purpose crosses of two indigenous with two exotic chicken breeds in Sub-tropical environment. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.*, 9 (7): 702-710.
- Gowe R. S. and Fairfull R. W. 1985. The direct response to long-term selection for multiple traits in egg stocks and changes in genetic parameters with selection. In: Hill, W. G., Manson, J. M. and Hweitt, D. ed., *Poultry Genetics and Breeding*. Brit. Poult. Sci. Symp. 18.
- Haitook T., Tawfik E. and Zobisch M. 2003. Options for native chicken (Gallus domesticus) production in Northeastern Thailand. *Deutscher Tropentag 2003*. Conference on international Agricultural Research for Development.
- Hassen H., Neser P. W. C., Dessie T., deKock A. and Van Marle-Koster E. 2006. Studies on the growth performance of native chicken ecotypes and RIR chicken under improved management system in Northeast Ethiopia. *Livestock Research for Rural Development.* 18(6) htm.
- Islam M. A. and Nishibori M. 2009. Indigenous naked neck chicken: a valuable genetic resource for Bangladesh. *Worlds Poult. Sci. J.* 65: 125-138.
- Kerje S., Carlborg O., Jacobsson L., Schute K., Hartmann C., Jensen P. and Andersson L. 2003. The twofold difference in adult size between the red jungle fowl and white leghorn chickens is largely explained by a limited number of QTL. *Animal Genetics.* 34: 264-274.
- Kingori A. M., Tuitok J. K., Muiruri H. K. and Wachira A. M. 2004. Protein requirement of growing indigenous chickens during 14-21 weeks growing period. *South African J. Anim. Sci.* 33(2): 78-82.
- Merat, P. 1990. Pleiotropic and associated effects of major genes. In: Crawford, R. D. (ed.), *Poultry Breeding and Genetics*. Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam. pp. 429-467.
- Momoh O. M. and Nwosu C. C. 2008. Genetic evaluation of growth traits in crosses between two ecotypes of Nigeria local chicken. *Livestock Res. Rural Dev.* 20 (10) htm.
- Momoh O. M., Nwosu C. C., Adeyinka I. A. 2010. Comparative evaluation of two Nigerian local chicken ecotypes and their crosses for growth traits. *Int. J. Poult. Sci.* 9(8): 738-743.
- Mupeta B., Wood J., Mandonga F. and Mhlanga J. 2002. A comparison of the performance of village chickens under improved feed management, with the performance of hybrid chickens in tropical Zimbabwe. Mupeta Poultry Paper. Doc. Available at [www.smallstock.info/research/reports/R7524/R7524-02.pdf](http://www.smallstock.info/research/reports/R7524/R7524-02.pdf).
- Ndofor-Foleng H. M., Ueber C. P. N. and Nwosu C. C. 2006. Estimate of heritability of body weight of two ecotype chickens reared in Nsukka in the derived savannah. In: *Books of proceedings, 31<sup>st</sup> annual Conference of Animal Science Association of Nigeria*, Ibadan, Nigeria. pp. 222-226.
- Nwachukwu E. N., Ibe S. N., Ejekwu K. 2006b. Short term egg production and egg quality characteristics of main and reciprocal crossbred normal local, naked neck and frizzle chicken x exotic broiler breeder stock in a humid tropical environment. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 5(7): 547-551.
- Nwachukwu E. N., Ibe S. N., Ejekwu K. and Oke U. K. 2006a. evaluation of growth parameters of main and reciprocal crossbred normal, naked necked and frizzle chickens in a humid tropical environment. *J. Anim. Vet. Adv.* 5(7): 542-546.
- Nwosu C. C. and Asuquo B. O. 1985. Heritability and correlation estimates of body weight in the local chicken. In: *Self-sufficiency in animal protein supply under changing economic fortunes*. Ed. Olomu, J. M., Ikhatua, U. J., Taiwo, A. A. and Jagun, A. G. *Proc. 9<sup>th</sup> Annual Conf. Nig. Soc. Anim. Prod.* pp. 49-56.
- Ogbu C. C. 2012a. Effect of Positive assortative mating on between and within line variation in performance traits of the Nigerian indigenous chickens (NIC). *International Journal of Science and Nature.* 3(1): 20-23.
- Ogbu C. C. 2012b. Phenotypic response to mass selection in the Nigerian indigenous chickens. *Asian Journal of Poultry Science.* 6(3): 89-96.
- Ogbu C. C. and Omeje S. S. I. 2011. Within population variation in performance Traits in the Nigerian Indigenous



Chicken (NIC). International Journal of Science and Nature. 2(2): 192-197.

Oke U. K. 2011. Influence of some major genes on early lay traits of crossbred local pullets in a humid tropical environment. Online Journal of Animal and Feed Research. 1(3): 92-98.

Reta 2009. Understanding the role of indigenous chickens during the long walk to food security in Ethiopia. Liv. Res. Rural Dev. 21(8) htm.

Sarkita T. and Noor R. R. 2005. Production performance of local chicken genotypes in Indonesia: An overview. Available online at <http://agtr.ilrl.cgiar.org/casesstudy/nor/pdf/Noor.pdf>.

Sharma G., Arora J. S., Kumar D., Mahajan V. and Thakur M. J. 2013. Genetic studies on sow productivity traits of large white Yorkshire crossbred pigs. International Journal of Bioinformatics and biological Sciences. 1(1): 19-26.

Ude I., Omeje S. I., Odo B. I. and Ogbu C. C. 2003. Hatching characteristics of Native and Exotic (Egg Type) chickens using a locally fabricated incubator. Int. Journal of Agric. and Biol. Sci. 2(1): 29-42.

Warnoto J. S. and Triadi T. 2009. Estimation of Na gene frequency on native chicken population and its effect on hatchability performance. J. Indonesian Trop. Anim. Agric. 34(4): 284-288.