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ABSTRACT
Solving the differential equations of heat conduction, the temperature in each point of the body can be determined. However, in the case of bodies with boundary surface of sophisticated geometry no analytical method can be used. In this case the use of numerical methods becomes necessary. The finite element method is based on the integral equation of the heat conduction. This is obtained from the differential equation using variational calculus. The temperature values will be calculated on the finite elements. Then, based on these partial solutions, the solution for the entire volume will be determined. Using this method we can divide into elements also fields with any border. Also, numerical modeling with boundary elements is used for analysis of heat conduction. In this paper are developed basic ideas of numerical analysis with finite elements and boundary (constant) elements of conductive thermal fields generated or induced into solid body in steady state regime. The temperature distribution in some solid bodies and in pipe insulation is analyzed using analytical methods and boundary element methods, implemented in two computer programs developed by the authors. This shows the good performance of the proposed numerical models.
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1. INTRODUCTION
Modern computational techniques facilitate solving problems with imposed boundary conditions using different numerical methods [6], [7], [9], [16-19]. Numerical analysis of heat transfer [12], [13] has been independently though not exclusively, developed in three main streams: the finite differences method [22], [24], the finite element method [1], [20], [23] and the boundary element method [3], [4], [5].

The finite differences method (FDM) is based on the differential equation of the heat conduction, which is transformed into a numerical one. The temperature values will be calculated in the nodes of the network. Using this method convergence and stability problem can appear.

The finite element method (FEM) and the boundary element method (BEM) is based on the integral equation of the heat conduction. This is obtained from the differential equation using variational calculus. In first case the temperature values will be calculated on the finite elements. Then, based on these partial solutions, the solution for the entire volume will be determined. Using this method we can divide into elements also fields with unregulated border. In the BEM case only the boundary is discretized into elements and internal point position can be freely defined.

In this paper the temperature distribution is analyzed in the solid bodies, with linear variation of the properties, using the FEM and the BEM.

2. ANALYTICAL MODEL OF HEAT CONDUCTION
The temperature in a solid body is a function of the time and space coordinates. The points corresponding to the same temperature value belong to an isothermal surface. This surface in a two dimensional Cartesian system is transformed into an isothermal curve.

The heat flow rate $Q$ represents the heat quantity through an isothermal surface $S$ in the time unit:

$$Q = \int_S q \, ds$$

where the density of heat flow rate $q$ is given by the Fourier law:

$$q = -\lambda \frac{\partial t}{\partial n} = -\lambda \, \text{grad} \, t$$

in which $\lambda$ is the thermal conductivity of the material. The thermal conductivity of the building materials is the function of the temperature and variation can accordingly be expressed as:

$$\lambda = \lambda_0 \left[1 + b(t - t_0)\right]$$

in which: $\lambda_0$ is the thermal conductivity corresponding to the $t_0$ temperature; $b$ – material constant.

If there is heat conduction within an inhomogeneous and anisotropy material, considering the heat conductivity constant in time, the temperature variation in space and time is given by the Fourier equation:

$$\rho c \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} = c \left(\lambda_x \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} + c \left(\lambda_y \frac{\partial t}{\partial y} + \lambda_z \frac{\partial t}{\partial z}\right)^2 + Q_0\right)$$

in which: $t$ is the temperature; $\tau$ – time; $\rho$ – material density; $c$ – specific heat of the material; $\lambda_x$, $\lambda_y$, $\lambda_z$ – thermal conductivity in the directions $x$, $y$ and $z$; $Q_0$ – power of the internal sources.

To solve the differential equations it is necessary to have supplementary equations. These equations contain the geometrical conditions of the analysis field, the starting conditions (at $\tau = 0$) and the boundary conditions.
The boundary conditions (Figure-1) describe the interaction between the analyzed field and the surroundings. In function of these interactions different conditions are possible:

- the Dirichlet (type I) boundary conditions give us the temperature values on the boundary surface $S_{q}$ of the analyzed field like a space function constant or variable in time:

$$ t = f(x, y, z, \tau) \quad (5) $$

- the Neumann (type II) boundary conditions give us the value of the density of heat flow rate through the $S_{q}$ boundary surface of the analyzed field:

$$ q = \lambda_{x} \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} n_{x} + \lambda_{y} \frac{\partial t}{\partial y} n_{y} + \lambda_{z} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} n_{z} \quad (6) $$

in which: $n_{x}$, $n_{y}$, $n_{z}$ are the cosine directors corresponding to the normal direction on the $S_{q}$ boundary surface.

- the Cauchy (type III) boundary conditions give us the external temperature value and the convective heat transfer coefficient value between the $S_{q}$ boundary surface of the body and the surrounding fluid:

$$ \alpha(t - t_{e}) = \lambda_{x} \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} n_{x} + \lambda_{y} \frac{\partial t}{\partial y} n_{y} + \lambda_{z} \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} n_{z} \quad (7) $$

in which: $\alpha$ is the convective heat transfer coefficient from $S_{q}$ to the fluid (or inversely); $t_{e}$ - the fluid temperature.

The analytical model described by the equations (4)...(7) can be completed with the material equations which provide us information about variation of the material properties depending on temperature. In the case of materials with linear physical properties, this equations ($\lambda = \text{const.}$) are not used in the model.

Solving the differential equation of the heat conduction (4) we can determine the temperature values in each point of the body. However, in the case of bodies with boundary surface of sophisticated geometry, the equation (4) cannot be solved using analytical methods. In this case numerical methods should be applied. The increasing availability of computers has also lead into the direction of more frequent use of these methods.

### 3. FORMULATION OF THE NUMERICAL MODEL WITH FINITE ELEMENTS

For use the FEM, the transformation of equations (4)...(7) into integral model is necessary. To realize this transformation we can use variation calculus.

The temperature $t(x, y, z, \tau)$ which represent a solution for the differential heat conduction equation (4) and for conditions (5), (6), (7), also represents a solution for the steady state equation of the $V$ field:

$$ \delta F = 0 \quad (8) $$

which is equivalent, from mathematical point of view, with the equations (4)...(7) and were $F$ is the functional of the heat conduction.

$$ F = \int_{V} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{x} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{y} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{z} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} \right)^{2} \right] dV + $$

$$ + \int_{S_{q}} \left( q - \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} - \frac{\partial}{\partial \tau} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} \right) \right) dS - \int_{S_{q}} \left( \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{x} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{y} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{z} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} \right)^{2} \right) dS \quad (9) $$

in which: $Q_{0}$ is positive when the internal sources produce heat and negative when these sources absorb heat; $q$ is positive when the body receives heat and negative when the body yields heat to the surrounding fluid; $\alpha$ is positive on the surfaces where the heat transfer happens from the body to the fluid and it is negative inversely.

The minimization of the functional is done correspondingly to each finite element. The solution for the entire field is obtained joining the partial solutions.

Though the heat conduction is carried out within three-dimensional bodies, the temperature distribution variation is significant only in certain directions. Thus, the analysis of temperature distribution in bars, plain or cylindrical walls is done using a two-dimensional model.

In the steady state heat transfer processes the temperature does not depend on the time, thus in the equation (9) $\frac{\partial t}{\partial \tau} = 0$. In addition, at two-dimensional problems, the temperature does not vary on $z$ direction, thus $\frac{\partial t}{\partial z} = 0$.

#### 3.1 General equations of the FEM

In our case the equation (9) can be expressed as:

$$ F = \int_{V} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_{x} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial x} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{y} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial y} \right)^{2} + \lambda_{z} \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial z} \right)^{2} \right] dV - $$

$$ - \int_{S_{q}} q dS + \int_{S_{q}} \alpha \left( \frac{1}{2} t - t_{e} \right) dS \quad (10) $$
Taking into account that the temperature function is not continuous on the entire field, the equation (10) can be integrated only on the finite elements. On the entire field the functional \( F \) can be written as a sum of \( m \) functionals \( F^e \), where \( m \) is the number of finite elements:

\[
F = \sum_{e=1}^{m} F^e
\]

(11)

\[
F = \sum_{e=1}^{m} \int_{L_{e}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_e \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \lambda_e \left( \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dV - \int_{S_{e}} Q_e \varphi^e dS - \int_{S_{e}} \alpha \varphi^e dS
\]

(12)

where the "\( e \)" exponent refers to a finite element.

For a given finite element the temperature \( \varphi^e \) can be calculated based on the temperature values in the nodes:

\[
\varphi^e = N_1 t_1 + N_2 t_2 + \ldots + N_n t_n = [N] [t]^e
\]

(13)

where: \( n \) is the number of the finite element nodes; \([N]\) – form matrix of the finite element; \([t]^e\) – vector of the temperature values in the nodes.

In the expression (12) appear the partial derivatives of the temperature, therefore the equation (13) should be derived:

\[
[B] = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial y}
\end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{\partial N_1}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_1}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial N_2}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_2}{\partial y} & \ldots & \frac{\partial N_n}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_n}{\partial y}
\end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix}
t_1 \\
t_2 \\
\vdots \\
t_n
\end{bmatrix} = [N][t]^e
\]

(14)

If the thermal conductivities are written in matrix form:

\[
[D] = \begin{bmatrix}
\lambda_e & 0 \\
0 & \lambda_e
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(15)

then equation (12) can accordingly be expressed as:

\[
F^e = \int_{L_{e}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_e \left( \frac{\partial \varphi^e}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \lambda_e \left( \frac{\partial \varphi^e}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dV - \int_{S_{e}} Q_e \varphi^e dS - \int_{S_{e}} \alpha \varphi^e dS +
\]

\[
+ \int_{S_{e}} \frac{\alpha}{2} [N]^e [N]^T [t]^e dS - \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [N]^T [N] [t]^e dS
\]

(16)

Because

\[
\]

\[
\]

(17)

the equation (16) can be expressed as:

\[
F^e = \int_{L_{e}} \left[ \frac{1}{2} \lambda_e \left( \frac{\partial \varphi^e}{\partial x} \right)^2 + \lambda_e \left( \frac{\partial \varphi^e}{\partial y} \right)^2 \right] dV - \int_{S_{e}} Q_e [N][t]^e dV - \int_{S_{e}} q[N][t]^e dS
\]

(18)

If we derive the matrix equation (18) the further equation is obtained:

\[
\frac{\partial F^e}{\partial [t]^e} = \int_{L_{e}} [J]^T [D][J][t] dV + \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [N]^T [N] dS - \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [t]^T dS
\]

(19)

Because \( dV = h \, dA \) and \( dS = h \, dl \), where \( h \) is the thickness of the finite element, \( dA \) – area of the finite element and \( dl \) – length of the finite element side, result:

\[
\frac{\partial F^e}{\partial [t]^e} = h \left( \int_{L_{e}} [J]^T [D][J][t] dA + \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [N]^T [N] dL \right) - h \int_{S_{e}} Q_e [N]^T dA - h \int_{S_{e}} q[N]^T dA - h \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [t]^T dL
\]

(20)

The finite element thickness \( h \) is considered constant and equal with 1 m. The equation (20), can be written as in compressed form:

\[
\frac{\partial F^e}{\partial [t]^e} = [k][t]^e - [p]
\]

(21)

where

\[
[k] = h \int_{L_{e}} [J]^T [D][J][t] dA + h \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [N]^T [N] dL
\]

(22)

\[
[p] = h \int_{S_{e}} Q_e [N]^T dA + h \int_{S_{e}} q[N]^T dA + h \int_{S_{e}} \alpha [t]^T dL
\]

(23)

in which: \([k]\) is the matrix of the heat conduction corresponding to a finite element, the first term is related to conduction and the second term to convection on the \( L_{e} \) side of the \( S_{e} \) boundary surface; \([p]\) – vector of heat sources containing the internal sources \( Q_e \), the density of heat flow rate \( q \) on the \( S_{e} \) boundary surface and convection on the \( S_{e} \) boundary surface.

The minimization of the \( F \) functional supposes the equality with zero of the first derivate in each point of the studied field. Taking into account of (11) results:

\[
\frac{\partial F}{\partial [t]^e} = \sum_{e=1}^{m} \frac{\partial F^e}{\partial [t]^e}
\]

(24)

Introducing equation (21) in (24) we obtain the equation system corresponding to the entire field:

\[
[K][t] = [P]
\]

(25)

where
\[ \{K\} = \sum_{i} \{k\} \quad \{P\} = \sum_{i} \{p\} \]  

(26)

in which: \([K]\) is matrix of heat conduction of the entire field; \([P]\) – vector of heat sources corresponding to studies field; \([t]\) – vector of unknown temperatures.

The equation (25) represents the form with finite element of the differential equation of heat conduction, which contains a number of equations equal to the number of the nodes with unknown temperature values.

### 3.2 Matrix of the heat conduction

If we use finite elements with triangle form in a certain point of the finite element, using the relation (13) the \(t'\) temperature (Figure-2), can be written as:

\[ t' = N_i t_i + N_j t_j + N_k t_k = [N_i N_j N_k] \begin{bmatrix} t_i \\ t_j \\ t_k \end{bmatrix} = [N] [t'] \]  

(27)

in which: \(t_i, t_j, t_k\) are the temperatures in \(i, j, k\) nodes (nodes of triangle finite element); \([N]\) – form matrix of the finite element [17], [19].

![Figure-2. Finite element with triangle form.](image)

The conduction matrix of a finite element is:

\[ \{k\} = [k_1] + [k_2] \]  

(28)

where

\[ [k_1] = h \int_{\lambda} \left[ \frac{\partial [J]}{\partial x} \right] [D] [J] dA = h \alpha \int_{\lambda} \left[ [N] \right] [N] dL \]  

(29)

The \([J]\) matrix, using the relation (14) can be expressed as:

\[ \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial t'}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial t'}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} t_j \\ t_k \end{bmatrix} = [J] [t'] \]  

(30)

If we derive the elements of the form matrix:

\[ [J] = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial x} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial x} \\ \frac{\partial N_j}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial y} & \frac{\partial N_k}{\partial y} \end{bmatrix} = \frac{1}{2A_e} \begin{bmatrix} b_i & b_j & b_k \\ c_i & c_j & c_k \end{bmatrix} \]  

(31)

where \(A_e\) is the area of the finite element, and the \(b\) respective \(c\) can be written as [19]:

\[ b_i = y_j - y_k; \quad b_j = y_k - y_i; \quad b_k = y_i - y_j \]

\[ c_i = x_k - x_j; \quad c_j = x_j - x_i; \quad c_k = x_i - x_k \]  

(32)

Consequently, the \([J]\) matrix is constant. Because the \(\lambda_x\) and \(\lambda_y\) thermal conductivities do not vary for a finite element, the \([D]\) matrix is also constant, thus:

\[ [k_2] = h \int_{\lambda} \left[ [J]' \right] [D] [J] dA = h [J]' [D] [J] A_e \]  

(33)

Introducing the expression of \([J]\) matrix from (31) and the expression of \([D]\) matrix from (15) in (33) results:

\[ [k_2] = h \frac{\alpha}{4A_e} \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_x b_i b_i + \lambda_y c_i c_i & \lambda_x b_i b_j + \lambda_y c_i c_j & \lambda_x b_i b_k + \lambda_y c_i c_k \\ \lambda_x b_j b_i + \lambda_y c_j c_i & \lambda_x b_j b_j + \lambda_y c_j c_j & \lambda_x b_j b_k + \lambda_y c_j c_k \\ \lambda_x b_k b_i + \lambda_y c_k c_i & \lambda_x b_k b_j + \lambda_y c_k c_j & \lambda_x b_k b_k + \lambda_y c_k c_k \end{bmatrix} \]  

(34)

The matrix \([k_2]\) from the equation (28) can be written as:

\[ [k_2] = h \alpha \int_{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} N_i N_i & N_i N_j & N_i N_k \\ N_j N_i & N_j N_j & N_j N_k \\ N_k N_i & N_k N_j & N_k N_k \end{bmatrix} dL \]  

(35)

Using the \(L\) – natural coordinates and considering that convective heat transfer exists on the \(jk\) side of the finite element, we obtain:

\[ [k_z] = h \alpha \int_{\lambda} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & L_j L_j & L_j L_k \\ 0 & L_j L_k & L_k L_k \end{bmatrix} dL \]  

(36)

To solve the equation (36), the following relation should be used:

\[ \int_{x_i}^{x_j} L_\alpha^\beta \, dx = \frac{\alpha^! \beta^!(X_j - X_i)}{(\alpha + \beta + 1)!} \]  

(37)

Consequently for products with the same indices \(j\) or \(k\) is obtained:

\[ \int_{L_i}^{L_j} L_k dL = \int_{L_i}^{L_j} L_k dL \int_{L_i}^{L_j} dL = \frac{2! 10!}{(2 + 0 + 1)!} L_{\lambda x} = \frac{L_{\lambda x}}{3} \]  

(38)

and for products with different indices \(j\) and \(k\) is obtained:
\[ \int_{L_{10}} L_{10} L_{10} dA = \int_{L_{10}} L_{10} L_{10} dA = \frac{1111}{(1+1+1)} L_{10} = \frac{L_{10}}{6} \]  \hspace{1cm} (39)

Substituting into equation (36) results:

\[ [k_2] = \frac{h \alpha L_{\text{ac}}}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (40)

If convective heat transfer exists the \( ij \) or \( ki \) sides of the finite element are:

\[ [k_2] = \frac{h \alpha L_{\text{ac}}}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad [k_2] = \frac{h \alpha L_{\text{ac}}}{6} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (41)

The matrix \([k_2]\) exists only in the case when at least one side of the finite element heat transfer is realized by convection.

### 3.3 Vector of the heat sources

This vector is based on the equation (23) from three terms, which can be calculated using the \( L \)-natural coordinates. Supposing that \( Q_0 \) is constant for a finite element, using the following relation:

\[ \int_{A_{\text{e}}} L_{ij} L_{jk} L_{ki} dA = \frac{\alpha \beta \gamma !}{(\alpha + \beta + \gamma + 2)!} 2 A_{\text{e}} \]  \hspace{1cm} (42)

we obtain that:

\[ \{p_\alpha\} = h \int_{A_{\text{e}}} Q_0 \left[ N_i \right] dA = h Q_0 \int_{A_{\text{e}}} \begin{bmatrix} N_i \\ N_j \\ N_k \end{bmatrix} dA = \frac{h Q_0 A_{\text{e}}}{3} \begin{bmatrix} L_i \\ L_j \\ L_k \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (43)

The second term, for a certain density of heat flow rate, corresponds to the heat transfer on the boundary surface of the studied field. Supposing that the body receives the heat flow through \( L_{ki} = L_{\text{ac}} \) side of the finite element, using the relation (37) we obtain:

\[ \{p_\alpha\} = h \int_{L_{\text{ac}}} q \left[ N_i \right] dL = h q \int_{L_{\text{ac}}} \begin{bmatrix} N_i \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} dL = h q \int_{L_{\text{ac}}} \begin{bmatrix} L_i \\ 0 \\ L_j \end{bmatrix} dL = \frac{h q L_{\text{ac}}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (44)

The third term, from the equation (23), corresponds to convective heat transfer on the \( jk \) \( (L_{jk} = L_{\text{ac}}) \) side of the finite element. Using the relation (37) we obtain:

\[ \{p_\alpha\} = h \int_{L_{\text{ac}}} \left[ q \left[ N_i \right] dL = h q \int_{L_{\text{ac}}} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ N_j \\ L_k \end{bmatrix} dL = \frac{h q L_{\text{ac}}}{2} \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix} \]  \hspace{1cm} (45)

It could be observed that the element zero in the vector (44) and (45) can occupy any position, corresponding to the side of finite element with heat transfer.

Based on the equation systems obtained for the finite elements, they can realize the equation system for the entire studied field. This system can be solved using analytical or iterative methods.

In present there are different programmes on the software market which permit numerical analysis of the temperature distribution (e.g. WAEBRU) but these programs are too expensive and our department cannot buy them. In this context to analyze the temperature distribution in a solid body under steady state heat transfer regime using the numerical model presented above the TAFEM software has been developed by author of this article. The equation system is solved using the Gauss method.

### 4. DEVELOPMENT OF THE NUMERICAL MODEL WITH BOUNDARY ELEMENTS

In the case of a plain wall, inside the analysis field, the heat conductivity in steady state regime is modeled by the Laplace equation [4]:

\[ \nabla^2 t = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (46)

On \( \Gamma \) portion of boundary \( \Gamma \) of the analysis field Dirichlet boundary conditions are imposed and left oner portion \( \Gamma_n \) Neumann boundary conditions are imposed.

In order to determine the temperature on the boundary of the analysis field one uses the following integral equation [3], [4], [6]:

\[ c(\zeta) \Phi(\zeta) + \int [u(\zeta) \nu(\hat{\zeta}), \hat{\nu}(\hat{\zeta})] \dd l(\hat{\zeta}) = \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n} u^*(\zeta, \hat{\nu}) n(\hat{\nu}) (47) \]

where: \( \zeta \) is the point in which one writes the integral equation (source point); \( c(\zeta) \) – a coefficient; \( \hat{\nu} \) – the current integration point; \( u^*(\zeta, \hat{\nu}) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \ln \frac{1}{r(\zeta, \hat{\nu})} \) – fundamental solution; \( \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial n} \) – normal derivative of this solution.

The distance \( r(\zeta, \hat{\nu}) \) between the current point \( \hat{\nu} \) and the source point \( \zeta \) is calculated with the relation:
Boundary \( \Gamma \) is discretized into \( N \) constant boundary elements for which one considers temperatures \( t_i \), respectively the normal derivative \( (\partial t/\partial n) \), constant and equal to the mid point (node) value of the element. Thus the integral equation is obtained under the following discretized form:

\[
c_i t_i + \sum_{j=1}^{N} c_j t_j = \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j \left( \frac{\partial t_j}{\partial n} \right) \quad (49)
\]

or

\[
c_i t_i + \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{A}_{ij} t_j = \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j \left( \frac{\partial t_j}{\partial n} \right) \quad (50)
\]

in which coefficients \( \tilde{A}_{ij} \) and \( B_j \) have the expressions:

\[
\tilde{A}_{ij} = \int_{\Gamma} v^*(\zeta, \Omega) d\Gamma \quad ; \quad B_j = \int_{\Gamma} u^*(\zeta, \Omega) d\Gamma \quad i \neq j \quad (51)
\]

When \( i = j \) these become:

\[
\tilde{A}_{ii} = \frac{1}{2} + \tilde{A}_i \quad ; \quad B_i = \frac{l_j}{2\pi} \left( 1 - \ln \frac{l_j}{2} \right) \quad (52)
\]

Explicitly, equation (50) generates a linear and compatible system of \( N \) equations with \( 2N \) unknowns \( t_i \) and \( (\partial t/\partial n)_j \) and after implementing the boundary conditions, the number of unknowns is reduced to \( N \). In the case of constant boundary elements, coefficient \( c_i \) has the value 1/2. Coefficients \( \tilde{A}_{ij} \) and \( B_j \) from (51) is computed using a Gauss quadrature [8], [19]:

\[
\tilde{A}_{ij} = \frac{l_j}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} v^*_k w_k \quad ; \quad B_j = \frac{l_j}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} u^*_k w_k \quad (53)
\]

in which \( l_j \) is the length of the \( j \) boundary element.

Introducing notations: \( n_x = \cos(n, x) \); \( n_y = \cos(n, y) \) and using, for \( \forall \ X \in \Gamma \), the parametric equations:

\[
x = A \xi + B; \quad y = C \xi + D; \quad \xi \in [-1, 1] \times [-1, 1] \quad (54)
\]

where: \( x \in [x_1, x_{1+1}] \) and \( y \in [y_1, y_{1+1}] \), the following relations are obtained:

\[
n_x = -\frac{C}{\sqrt{A^2 + C^2}}; \quad n_y = -\frac{A}{\sqrt{A^2 + C^2}} \quad (55)
\]

in which \( (x_1, y_1) \) and \( (x_{1+1}, y_{1+1}) \) are the extremities of the boundary element \( j \).

The analysis field is transformed into a dimensionless one by replacing the dimensional variables \((x, y)\) with dimensionless ones \((x', y')\):

\[
x' = \frac{x}{x_{max}} \quad ; \quad y' = \frac{y}{x_{max}} \quad (56)
\]

in which \( x_{max} \) is the maximum extension of the analysis field after axis Ox.

In order to determine the temperature inside of the analysis field is used the integral representation:

\[
t(\zeta) = \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial t}{\partial n}(\zeta, \Omega) d\Gamma - \int_{\Gamma} t(\zeta, \Omega) \frac{\partial t}{\partial n}(\zeta, \Omega) d\Gamma
\]

(57)

in which: \( \zeta \in \Omega \), where \( \Omega \) represent the inside of the analysis field \( \Omega \) \( (\Omega = \bar{\Omega} \cup \Gamma) \).

After the discretization of boundary \( \Gamma \) into \( N \) constant boundary elements one obtains the integral equation under discretized form:

\[
t_i(\zeta_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{A}_{ij} t_j + \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j (\partial t_j/\partial n_j) \quad (58)
\]

which can be written as such:

\[
t_i = \sum_{j=1}^{N} \tilde{A}_{ij} t_j - \sum_{j=1}^{N} B_j \partial t_j/\partial n_j \quad (59)
\]

Coefficients \( \tilde{A}_{ij} \) and \( B_j \) are evaluated using a Gauss quadrature:

\[
\tilde{A}_{ij} = \frac{l_j}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} v^*_k w_k \quad ; \quad B_j = \frac{l_j}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{m} u^*_k w_k \quad (60)
\]

in which: \( m \) is the number of Gauss type points; \( w_k \) – weight coefficients.

Temperatures \( t_i \) from points \( \zeta_i \) are easily determined taking into account that values \( t_i \) and \( (\partial t/\partial n)_j \) are known on the analysis field boundary, and coefficients \( \tilde{A}_{ij} \) and \( B_j \) are computed with equation (54).

By knowing values \( t_i \) and \( t_j \) of the temperature on the analysis field boundary, the group of coordinate points \((x', y')\) for which \( t = \) const. represents the isothermal curves.

The numerical model based on BEM has been implemented in computer program TABEM, realized in Fortran programming language, for IBM–PC compatible systems.

5. APPLICATIONS

5.1 Temperature distribution in orthotropic body

The temperature distribution is analyzed in a solid body 500×400 mm sectional dimensions (Figure-3). The body receives heat flow on two sides: \( q_1 = 2320 \text{ W}, \) \( q_2 = 928 \text{ W}. \) On the other two sides the body transmit heat by convection \( h = 23.2 \text{ W/(m}^2\text{K)}. \) The material of the body has orthotropic properties with the following
values of the thermal conductivities: \( \lambda_x = 11.6 \text{ W/(m-K)} \), \( \lambda_y = 5.8 \text{ W/(m-K)} \).

The study field is divided into 40 finite elements with 30 nodes. Running the TAFEM program, the values of temperatures in the nodes have been obtained and presented in Table-1.

The temperature distribution in the body is presented in Figure-4.

Wood is the only orthotropic material which is used in civil engineering, and this property should be taken into account at heat loss determination of the buildings (e.g. heat flow direction perpendicular or parallel on the fiber).

5.2 Temperature distribution in pipe insulation

The temperature distribution in pipe insulation was analyzed (Figure-5) using the TAFEM program. The calculus was made for a pipe with 800 mm nominal diameter and the hot water temperature was 150°C. The ambient temperature was considered 1°C.

**Table-1.** Temperature values in the nodes.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>( t ) [°C]</th>
<th>Node</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>( t ) [°C]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( x )</td>
<td>( y )</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>19</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>30.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>40.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>30.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>50.0</td>
<td>40.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
To obtain results which describe the real situation as exactly as possible the convective heat transfer coefficient on the external insulation surface was considered variable with values between 10 and 25.6 W/(m²·K).

In Figures 6 and 7 the analyzed field and the temperature distribution are presented in the pipe section. It can be observed that due to the variable boundary conditions on the insulation surface the isotherm curves are not circular curves which are obtained when the classical calculus is used.

5.3 Temperature distribution in the metallic plaque

In Figures 8 and 9 are considered two variants of a metallic plaque, with dimensions 40×40×70 mm, for which one determines the temperature field using BEM and analytical method (ANM). In Figures 10 and 11 are presented the dimensionless analysis domains together with mixed boundary conditions for these boundaries.
Figure-11. Boundary conditions for metallic plaque with semicylindrical cut-out.

For metallic plaque in Figure-2 the boundary can be discretized into $N = 16$ boundary elements, one states 9 internal points (Figure-12) and one applies the computational model based on BEM. The numerical results obtained by means of TABEM program are presented in Table-2, comparatively with the ones obtained with ANM [13].

Table-2. The values $t_i$ and $\left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial n} \right)_j$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Point</th>
<th>Coordinates</th>
<th>BEM</th>
<th>ANM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$x_i^*$</td>
<td>$y_i^*$</td>
<td>$t_i$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>93.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>80.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>68.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>55.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1.000</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>50.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>55.821</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>68.299</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>80.705</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>93.052</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.125</td>
<td>98.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.375</td>
<td>99.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.625</td>
<td>99.308</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>0.875</td>
<td>98.776</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>86.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>86.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>86.836</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>74.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>74.536</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>74.521</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.250</td>
<td>62.205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.500</td>
<td>62.240</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>62.205</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The absolute percentage value of the relative difference toward the analytical solution, for both the temperature \( \varepsilon_t \) and its normal derivative \( \varepsilon_{ndt} \) is defined by:

\[
\varepsilon_t = \left| \frac{t_{ANM} - t_{BEM}}{t_{ANM}} \right| \times 100;
\]

\[
\varepsilon_{ndt} = \left| \frac{\left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial n} \right)_{ANM} - \left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial n} \right)_{BEM}}{\left( \frac{\partial t}{\partial n} \right)_{ANM}} \right| \times 100
\]

Taking into account the results from Table-2 when applying equations (61), acceptable values have been obtained for \( \varepsilon_t \) and \( \varepsilon_{ndt} \) (\( \varepsilon_t < 1.3\% \), \( \varepsilon_{ndt} < 3.5\% \)) even if the number of boundary elements considered is small.

For metallic plaque in Figure-3 the boundary can be discretized into \( N = 56 \) constant boundary elements (Figure-13) and using BEM was determined isothermal curves presented in Figure-14.

**Figure-13.** Boundary discretization for the plaque with semicylindrical cut-out.

**Figure-14.** Temperature distribution for the plaque with semicylindrical cut-out.

### 6. CONCLUSIONS

In practice there are many situations where it is indispensable to know the temperature distribution in a body (e.g. in different mechanic and electronic components). In civil engineering it is important to analyse the temperature distribution in thermal bridges, in pipe walls, in insulation materials [10].

The numerical modelling with finite and boundary elements represents an efficient way to obtain temperature distribution in steady state conductive heat transfer processes.

The numerical computation of the temperature field, on the basis of the boundary element method, has led to close values to the ones determined analytically even if a small number of boundary elements and respectively internal points of the analysis domain was used.

Using the presented methods, different simulation programs could be realized what makes it possible to effectuate a lot of different numerical experiments of practical problems.
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