ARPN Journal of Engineering and Applied Sciences

©2006-2015 Asian Research Publishing Network (ARPN). All rights reserved.



INTUITIONISTIC PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER

www.arpnjournals.com

Ponnivalavan K. and Pathinathan T. Research Department of Mathematics, Loyola College, Chennai, India E-Mail: <u>ponnivalavan1986@gmail.com</u>

ABSTRACT

In this paper we define Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number and include basic arithmetic operations like addition, subtraction for Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number. We present examples for the above defined operations between Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Numbers and also Score and Accuracy Function of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Numbers. Finally we give examples for Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number.

Keywords: fuzzy numbers, triangular fuzzy number, pentagonal fuzzy number, intuitionistic pentagonal fuzzy number, score and accuracy function, fuzzy arithmetic operations.

1. INTRODUCTION

L. A. Zadeh introduced fuzzy set theory in 1965. Different types of fuzzy sets [10] are defined in order to clear the vagueness of the existing problems. A fuzzy number [11, 16], is a quantity whose values are imprecise, rather than exact as in the case with single-valued function. The concept of fuzzy numbers is the generalization of the concept of real numbers. D. Dubois and H. Prade had defined fuzzy number as a fuzzy subset of the real line. So far fuzzy numbers like triangular fuzzy numbers, trapezoidal fuzzy numbers [15], Pentagonal fuzzy numbers [14], Hexagonal, Octagonal, pyramid fuzzy numbers, Diamond fuzzy number [17] and Reverse order fuzzy numbers [8] have been introduced with its membership functions.

Intuitionistic fuzzy sets were first introduced by Atanassov [1, 2] as a generalization of fuzzy sets. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets evolved from non-satisfication of membership function in evaluation. He used two characteristic functions expressing the degree of membership and the degree of non membership of elements in a fuzzy set. Many studied Intuitionistic fuzzy sets [3, 4] followed by Atanassov and introduced Intuitionistic fuzzy number, triangular Intuitionistic fuzzy number and trapezoidal Intuitionistic fuzzy number. Also arithmetic operations [7,9] were defined for Intuitionistic Fuzzy Numbers. It has got many applications [5,6] in information science, decision making problems, medical diagnosis, and system failure and pattern recognition.

In this paper, we introduce Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number (IPFN) with its membership functions. Section one is the introduction and section two presents the basic definitions of fuzzy numbers, section three presents the definition of Intuitionistic fuzzy numbers and Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number. Section four presents, arithmetic operations on Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number and section five gives Score and Accuracy Function of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number.

2. BASIC DEFINITIONS

Definition 2.1:

A fuzzy set is characterized by a membership function mapping the elements of a domain, space or universe of discourse X to the unit interval [0, 1]. A fuzzy set \underline{A} in a universe of discourse X is defined as the following set of pairs: $\underline{A} = \{ (x, \mu_{\underline{A}} (x); x \in X) \}$. Here $\mu_{\underline{A}} : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ is a mapping called the degree of membership function of the fuzzy set A and $\mu_{\underline{A}} (x)$ is called the membership value of $x \in X$ in the fuzzy set \underline{A} . These membership grades are often represented by real numbers ranging from [0,1].

Definition 2.2: (Fuzzy Number)

A Fuzzy number A is a fuzzy set on the real line

- R, must satisfy the following conditions. (i) $\mu_A(x_o)$ is piecewise continuous
- (ii) There exist at least one $x_o \in R$ with

$$\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x_{o}) = 1$$

(iii) A must be normal and convex

Definition 2.3: (Triangular Fuzzy Number) Triangular Fuzzy Number is defined as A =

{a,b,c}, where all a, b, c are real numbers and its membership function is given by,

$$\mu_{A_{d,r}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} & \text{for } a \le x \le b \\ \frac{(c-x)}{(c-b)} & \text{for } b \le x \le c \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.4: (Trapezoidal Fuzzy Number)

A fuzzy set A = (a, b, c, d) is said to trapezoidal

fuzzy number if its membership function is given by where $a \leq b \leq c \leq d$

 b_1

 C_1

©2006-2015 Asian Research Publishing Network (ARPN). All rights reserved

www.arpnjournals.com

$$\mu_{A_{\Box \tau x}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < a \\ \frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} & \text{for } a \le x \le b \\ 1 & \text{for } b \le x \le c \\ \frac{(d-x)}{(d-c)} & \text{for } c \le x \le d \\ 0 & \text{for } x > d \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.5: (Pentagonal Fuzzy Number)

A Pentagonal Fuzzy Number (PFN) of a fuzzy set A is defined as $A_p = \{a, b, c, d, e\}$, and its membership function is given by,

$$\mu_{A_{P}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < a, \\ \frac{(x-a)}{(b-a)} & \text{for } a \le x \le b \\ \frac{(x-b)}{(c-b)} & \text{for } b \le x \le c \\ 1 & x = c \\ \frac{(d-x)}{(d-c)} & \text{for } c \le x \le d \\ \frac{(e-x)}{(e-d)} & \text{for } d \le x \le e \\ 0 & \text{for } x > e \end{cases}$$

3. INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY NUMBER

Definition 3.1: (Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set)

Let X denote a universe of discourse, then the intuitionistic fuzzy set A in X is given by , $A_{I} = \{0 \pounds \ \mu_{A}(x) + \gamma_{A}(x) \pounds \ l, x \hat{l} \ X\}$ where $\mu_{AI}(x), \gamma_{AI}(x): X \otimes [0,1]$ are functions such that it represents the degree of membership and degree of nonmembership functions of the element $x \hat{I} X$ respectively.

Definition 3.2: (Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number)

An Intuitionistic Fuzzy Set A_I is called an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number if it satisfies the following conditions,

1. A_{I} is normal, i.e. there exists at least two points x_0, x_1 Î R such that $\mu_A(x_0) = 1$ and $\gamma_A(x_1) = 1$. 2. A_{I} is convex, i.e. its membership function is fuzzy

convex and its non membership function is concave. 3. Its membership function is upper semicontinuous and its non-membership function is lower semicontinuous and the set A_I is bounded.

Definition 3.3: (Intuitionistic Triangular Fuzzy Number)

An Intuitionistic triangular Fuzzy Number of a Intuitionistic Fuzzy is A is defined set as

$$\underbrace{A}_{\Box_{IT}} = \{ (a_1, b_1, c_1) (a_2, b_2, c_2) \},$$
 where all $(a_1, b_1, c_1) (a_2, b_2, c_2)$ are real numbers and its

 μ_A (x), non-membership membership function

function

$$r_{d_{IT}}(x)$$
 is given by,

$$\mu_{A_{IT}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-a_1)}{(b_1-a_1)} & \text{for } a_1 \le x \le b_1 \\ \frac{(c_1-x)}{(c_1-b_1)} & \text{for } b_1 \le x \le c_1 \\ 1 & x=b_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherw is } e. \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{A_{IT}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(b_1-x)}{(b_1-a_2)} & \text{for } a_2 \le x \le b_1 \\ \frac{(x-b_1)}{(c_2-b_1)} & \text{for } b_1 \le x \le c_2 \end{cases}$$

0 1

Definition 3.4: (Intuitionistic Trapezoidal Fuzzy Number)

 $x = b_1$ otherwise.

An Intuitionistic trapezoidal Fuzzy Number of a Intuitionistic Fuzzy set is A is defined as $A_{1,rr} = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2)\},\$ where all $(a_1, b_1 c_1)(a_2, b_2 c_2)$ are real numbers and its $\mu_{A_{ITR}}(x)$,non-membership membership function

function $\gamma_{A_{ITR}}(x)$ is given by,

$$\mu_{A_{1TR}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(x-a_1)}{(b_1-a_1)} & \text{for } a_1 \le x \le b_1 \\ 1 & \text{for } b_1 \le x \le c_1 \\ \frac{(d_1-x)}{(d_1-c_1)} & \text{for } c_1 \le x \le d_1 \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

$$\gamma_{A_{1TR}}(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{(b_1-x)}{(b_1-a_2)} & \text{for } a_2 \le x \le b_1 \\ 0 & \text{for } b_1 \le x \le c_1 \\ \frac{(x-c_1)}{(d_2-c_1)} & \text{for } c_1 \le x \le d_2 \\ 1 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Definition 3.5: (Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number) An Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number of a is A is Intuitionistic Fuzzy set defined as $A_{1,p_1} = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2)\}$, where all

www.arpnjournals.com

 $(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2)$ are real numbers and its membership function $\mu_{A_{IP}}(x)$, non-membership

function $\gamma_{A_{IP}}(x)$ are given by



Figure-1. Graphical representation of Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number (IPFN).

$$\mu_{A_{IP}}(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } x < a_{1}, \\ \frac{(x-a_{1})}{(b_{1}-a_{1})} & \text{for } a_{1} \le x \le b_{1} \\ \frac{(x-b_{1})}{(c_{1}-b_{1})} & \text{for } b_{1} \le x \le c_{1} \\ 1 & x = c_{1} \\ \frac{(d_{1}-x)}{(d_{1}-c_{1})} & \text{for } c_{1} \le x \le d_{1} \\ \frac{(e_{1}-x)}{(e_{1}-d_{1})} & \text{for } c_{1} \le x \le d_{1} \\ \frac{(e_{1}-x)}{(e_{1}-d_{1})} & \text{for } d_{1} \le x \le e_{1} \\ 0 & \text{for } x > e_{1} \end{cases} \\ \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x < a_{2}, \\ \frac{(b_{2}-x)}{(b_{2}-a_{2})} & \text{for } b_{2} \le x \le b_{2} \\ \frac{(c_{1}-x)}{(c_{1}-b_{2})} & \text{for } b_{2} \le x \le c_{2} \\ 0 & x = c_{1} \\ \frac{(x-c_{1})}{(d_{2}-c_{1})} & \text{for } c_{2} \le x \le d_{2} \\ \frac{(x-d_{2})}{(e_{2}-d_{2})} & \text{for } d_{2} \le x \le e_{2} \\ 1 & \text{for } x > e_{2}. \end{cases} \\ \text{ARITHMETIC} \quad \text{OPERATIONS} \quad \text{OPERATIONS} \end{cases}$$

4. ARITHMETIC OPERATIONS ON INTUITIONISTIC PENTAGONAL FUZZY NUMBER

4.1 Addition of two intuitionistic pentagonal fuzzy numbers

1 If (

If
$$A_{\perp P} = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2)\}$$
 and
 $B_{\perp P} = \{(a_3, b_3, c_3, d_3, e_3)(a_4, b_4, c_4, d_4, e_4)\}$ are two
Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Numbers then,
 $A_{\perp P} + B_{P} = \{(a_4, a_5, b_4, b_5, c_1 + c_3, d_1 + d_3, e_1 + e_3)(a_2 + a_4, b_2 + b_4, c_2 + c_4, d_2 + d_4 e_2 + e_4)\}$. Example: If $A_{\perp P} = \{(1, 3, 4, 6, 7)(1, 3, 5, 7, 8)\}$ and
 $B_{\perp P} = \{(-1, 2, 3, 4, 7)(2, 4, 5, 7, 8)\}$ then
 $A_{\perp P} + B_{\perp P} = \{(0, 5, 7, 10, 14)(3, 7, 10, 14, 18)\}$.

4.2 Subtraction of two intuitionistic pentagonal fuzzy numbers

If
$$A_{\perp IP} = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_{1,e_1})(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_{2,e_2})\}$$

and $B_{\perp IP} = \{(a_3, b_3, c_3, d_{3,e_3})(a_4, b_4, c_4, d_{4,e_4})\}$ are two
Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Numbers then,
 $A_{\perp IP} - B_{\perp IP} = \{(a_1 - e_3, b_1 - d_3, c_1 - c_3, d_1 - b_3, e_1 - a_3)(a_2 - e_4, b_2)\}$

Example: If $A_{\square IP} = \{(0,1,5,7,8)(2,3,5,7,8)\}$ and $B_{\square IP} = \{(0,1,2,6,7)(1,3,4,5,8)\}$ then $A_{\square IP} - B_{\square IP} = \{(-7,-5,-3,5,8)(-6,-2,1,4,7)\}.$

5. SCORE FUNCTION AND ACCURACY FUNCTION

Definition 5.1: (Score Function)

Score function of an Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number is defined as $S(A_I) = \mu_{AI}(x) - \gamma_{AI}(x)$.

Definition 5.2: (Accuracy Function)

Accuracy function of an Intitiuonistic Fuzzy Number is defined as $H(A_{I}) = \mu_{AI}(x) + \gamma_{AI}(x)$.

Definition 5.3: (Score Function of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal fuzzy number) Score function of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number

$$A_{\perp IP} = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2)\}$$
 is defined
as

 $S(A_{IP}) = (a_1 - a_2 + b_1 - b_2 + c_1 - c_2 + d_1 - d_2 + e_1 - e_2) / 5$ Where $S(A_{IP}) \in [-1, 1]$. Example: If

$$A_{IP} = \{(0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7)(0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7)\}$$

$$B_{\mu\nu} = \{(0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8)(0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8)\}$$

then $S(\underline{A}_{IP}) = S(\underline{B}_{IP}) = 0$ and we cannot

compare \underline{A}_{IP} and B_{IP} . In order to solve this we move to accuracy function.

©2006-2015 Asian Research Publishing Network (ARPN). All rights reserved

www.arpnjournals.com

Definition 5.4: (Accuracy Function of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal fuzzy number)

Accuracy function of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzv

Number
$$A_{IP} = \{(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1, e_1)(a_2, b_2, c_2, d_2, e_2)\}$$
 is

defined as

 $H(A_{IP}) = (a_1 + a_2 + b_1 + b_2 + c_1 + c_2 + d_1 + d_2 + e_1 + e_2) / 5$ Example: If $A_{\mu} = \{(0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7)(0.2, 0.3, 0.4, 0.6, 0.7)\}$

 $B_{m} = \{(0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8)(0.2, 0.3, 0.5, 0.6, 0.8)\}$

then $H(A_{IP}) = 0.88 \quad H(B_{IP}) = 0.96$. now we can

compare A_{IP} and B_{IP} .

Definition 5.5: (Comparison of score and accuracy function)

1. If $S(A_{IP}) < S(B_{IP})$ then $A_{IP} \prec B_{IP}$. Example:

If

 $A_{\mu\nu} = \{(0.23, 0.28, 0.31, 0.39, 0.4)(0.32, 0.33, 0.42, 0.49, 0.6)\}$ [2] K. Atanassov. 1986. Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy

 $B_{IP} = \{(0.32, 0.38, 0.41, 0.45, 0.51)(0.34, 0.4, 0.45, 0.53, 0.56)\}$

 $S(A_{IP}) = -0.11, S(B_{IP}) = -0.0084.$ $S(A_{IP}) < S(B_{IP})$ therefore $A_{IP} \prec B_{IP}$.

2. If $S(A_{IP}) > S(B_{IP})$ then $A_{IP} > B_{IP}$. Example: If $A_{\rm m} = \{(0.48, 0.51, 0.54, 0.6, 0.65)(0.32, 0.48, 0.5, 0.55, 0.6)\}$

 $B_{IP} = \{(0.38, 0.41, 0.53, 0.55, 0.6)(0.4, 0.42, 0.5, 0.51, 0.55)\}^{[5]}$

 $S(A_{IP}) = S(B_{IP})$ and $H(A_{IP}) < H(B_{IP})$ then $A_{IP} \prec B_{IP}$. *Example* : $A_{\text{if }m} = \{(0.2, 0.3, 0.35, 0.4, 0.45)(0.2, 0.3, 0.35, 0.4, 0.45)\}$ $B_{IP} = \{(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)\}$

4. If $S(A_{IP}) = S(B_{IP})$ and $H(A_{IP}) > H(B_{IP})$ then $A_{\mu\nu} \succ B_{\mu\nu}$. *Example*: $A_{m} = \{(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)\}$ $B_{\mu} = \{(0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.32, 0.35)(0.2, 0.25, 0.3, 0.32, 0.35)\}$

5 If $S(A_{IP}) = S(B_{IP})$ and $H(A_{IP}) = H(B_{IP})$ then $A_{IP} \approx B_{IP}$.

Example : $A_{p} = \{(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)\}$ $B_{IP} = \{(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)(0.25, 0.35, 0.45, 0.48, 0.5)\}$

6. CONCLUSIONS

The concept of fuzzy numbers and their utility aspects have been studied by researchers in recent times. Categorization of fuzzy numbers and their related properties have initiated new notions and approaches. In this paper, the Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number have been introduced with arithmetic operations. Using a few examples, we have explained the relevant arithmetic operations, Score and Accuracy of an Intuitionistic Pentagonal Fuzzy Number. We observe that fuzzy number concepts could be applied to many real life problems.

REFERENCES

- [1] Fuzzy sets and K. Atanassov. 1989. More on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, 33, pp. 37-46.
- sets and systems, 20, pp. 87-96.
- [3] L. Abdullah and W. Kwan Ismail. 2012. Hamming distance in Intuitionistic fuzzy sets and intervalvalued intuitionistic fuzzy sets: a comparative analysis, Advances in Computational Mathematics and its application, pp. 7-11.
- [4] H. Bustine and P. Burillo. 1996. Vague sets are Intuitionistic Fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and systems, 79, pp. 403-405.
 - Mojgan Esmailzadeh. 2013. New distance between triangular Intuitionistic fuzzy numbers, Advances in computational Mathematics and its Applications, 2, pp. 310-314.
- [6] M. M. Stubatah. 2012. Domination in product Intuitionistic fuzzy graphs, Advances in computational Mathematics and its Applications 1, pp. 174-182.
- [7] G. S. Mahapatra and T. K. Roy. 2013. Intuitionistic Fuzzy Number and Its Arithmetic Operation with Application on System Failure, Journal of uncertain systems, 7, pp. 92-107.
- [8] T. Pathinathan and K. Ponnivalavan. 2014. Reverse order Triangular, Trapezoidal and Pentagonal Fuzzy Numbers, Annals of Pure and Applied Mathematics, 8, pp. 51-58.
- [9] C. Parvathi and C. Malathi. 2012. Arithmetic operations on Symmetric Trapezoidal Intuitionistic

©2006-2015 Asian Research Publishing Network (ARPN). All rights reserved.



www.arpnjournals.com

Fuzzy Numbers, International Journal of Soft Computing and Engineering, 2, pp. 268-273.

- [10] Barnabas Bede. 1992. Mathematics of Fuzzy Sets and Fuzzy logic, Springer Publications, pp. 51-78,
- [11] D. Dubois and H. Prade. 1978. Operations on Fuzzy Numbers, International Journal of Systems Science, Vol. 9, No. 6, pp. 613-626.
- [12]P. Dutta and A. Ali Tazid. 2011. Fuzzy Arithmetic with and without α-cut Method; A Comparative Study, International Journal of latest trends in Computing, 3, pp. 528- 534.
- [13]Hass. Michael. 2009. Applied Fuzzy Arithmetic, Springer International Edition, pp. 45-73.
- [14]T. Pathinathan and K. Ponnivalavan. 2014. Pentagonal fuzzy numbers, International journal of computing algorithm, 3, pp. 1003-1005.
- [15] Bansal Abhinav. 2011. Trapezoidal Fuzzy Numbers (a,b,c,d); Arithmetic Behavior, International Journal of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, pp. 39-44.
- [16] George Bojadziev and Maria Bojadzier. 1995. Fuzzy sets and Fuzzy Logic Applications, World scientific publications, 5, pp29-59.
- [17] T. Pathinathan and K. Ponnivalavan. 2014. Diamond fuzzy numbers, Journal of Fuzzy set Valued Analysis, 1, pp. 36-44.
- [18] S.M. Chen and J. M. Tan. 1994. Handling Multi-Criteria fuzzy Decision Making Problems Based on Vague Set Theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 67, pp. 163-172.
- [18] D. J. Hong and C. H. Choi. 2000. Multi-Criteria fuzzy Decision Making Problems Based on Vague Set Theory, Fuzzy Sets and Systems, 114, pp. 103-113.
- [19] Xin Zhang and Peide Liu. 2010. Method for Aggregating Triangular Fuzzy Intuitionistic fuzzy Information and its Application To Decision Making, Baltic Journal of Sustainability, Vol. 16, No. 2, pp. 280-290.